

Formulation and Evaluation of sustained release Troxipide Matrix Tablets for Twice Daily

T. Sivannarayana*

- I. John Noble Devakumar
- Sk. Saddam Hussain
- K. Phani Jithendra
- K. Prakash

Page 396

Department of Pharmaceutics, Nirmala College of pharmacy atmakur, Guntur dist, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Authors:

Talari. Sivannarayana Department of pharmaceutics, Nirmala college of pharmacy atmakur, Guntur dist, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract: The main objective of the present work was to develop sustained release matrix tablets of troxipide using Hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) Varying ratios of drug and polymer like 1:0.5,1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, 1:2.5 and 1:3 were selected for the study. After fixing the ratio of drug and polymer for control the release of drug up to desired time. After evaluation of physical properties of tablet, the in vitro release study was performed in 0.1N HCl pH 1.2 for 2 hrs and in phosphate buffer pH 6.8 up to 12 hrs. The effects of polymer concentration were studied. Dissolution data was analyzed by Korsmeyer-Peppas power law expression and modified power law expression. It was observed that matrix tablets contained drug : polymer ratio 1:2 was successfully sustained the release of drug upto 12 hrs. Among all the formulations, release the drug which follows Zero order kinetics via, swelling, diffusion and erosion and the release profile of formulation F4 was optimized. Stability studies (40±2°C/75±5%RH) for 3 months indicated that Troxipide was stable in the matrix tablets. The FTIR study revealed that there was no chemical interaction between drug and excipients.

Keywords: Troxipide, matrix tablets, anti-ulcer, twice daily, HPMC 15 LV.

E-mail: Siva66.2010@gmail.com

NTRODUCTION:

Troxipide is a drug used in the treatment of gastro esophageal reflux disease. Troxipide is a novel systemic non-anti secretory gastric cytoprotective agent with anti-ulcer, antiinflammatory and mucus secreting properties irrespective of pH of stomach or duodenum.

For many decades treatment of an acute disease or a chronic illness has been mostly accomplished by delivery of drug to patient using various conventional dosage forms like tablets, solutions and suspensions, and syrups. Even today these conventional drug delivery systems are the primary pharmaceutical products commonly seen in the prescription and over-the-counter drug market place. This type of drug delivery system is known to provide a prompt release of drug. To achieve therapeutic concentration and also maintain therapeutic concentration desired time done by using polymers. This results in a significant fluctuation in drug levels can be avoided. Novel techniques helpful for capable of controlling the rate of drug delivery, sustaining the duration of therapeutics activity Conventional oral dosage forms often produce fluctuation of drug plasma level that either exceed safe therapeutic level this problem can overcome by matrix tablets.⁽¹⁾ The composition of each tablet is shown in table(1).

Matrix tablet concept has long been utilized to develop sustained- release formulation. The most common method of modify drug release

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402

© 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

is to include it in a matrix formulation. Because of their flexibility, hydrophilic polymer matrix systems are widely used in oral controlled drug delivery to obtain a desirable drug release profile4, cost effectiveness, and broad regulatory acceptance. Among the hydrophilic polymers, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose derivatives are frequently used because of its nontoxic nature, easy compression, swelling properties and accommodation to high levels of drug loading.^(2,5) Additionally, HPMC is a pH independent material and hence drug release from hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose matrix formulations is generally independent of processing variables.^(2,5)

The Troxipide drug half life was found to 7.5hrs. The AUC($0-\infty$) were within the be bioequivalence criteria range of 80.00-125.00.⁽⁸⁾

The matrix tablets containing polymer sustained drug release prolong the therapeutic effect. Tablets were prepared by direct compression technology. This technology more advantage in industrial applicability. (12,13)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials:

Troxipide (shreeji pharma international), HPMC 15LV, magnesium state, talc are analytical arade from my institute. Composition taken for formulation shown in Table 1.

Methods:

The Troxipide matrix tablets were prepared by direct compression method. In this active pharmaceutical ingredients (Troxipide) and inactive ingredients (HPMC, Lactose) are mixed in geometric order. After mixture was pass through sieve #120. Add talc, Mg.sterate sufficient amount compressed in 12 station punching machine with high presser. The obtained tables were evaluated.

Evaluation of tablets:

The prepared tablets were evaluated for weight variation, hardness, thickness, friability, drug content, and In-vitro drug release. (3)

FTIR Studies: IR spectra for Troxipide and formulation (drug+excipients) were recorded in a Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (BRUKER). The functional groups are not altered during mixing with excipients. N-H stretching=3500 cm⁻¹, C-H bend (monosubstituted)=993 cm⁻¹, 3900 cm⁻¹, 3744 cm⁻¹ are not changed with combination of excipients.9

It observed in Figure-1,2 & 3. in this T- Troxipide & TH-Troxipide+HPMC15LV

The FTIR revealed that there is no interaction between drug and polymer.

Calibration curve for Troxipide:

Calibration curve for troxipide prepared in pH1.2 Hcl buffer and in pH6.8 phosphate buffer.

Calibration curve in pH 1.2 Hcl buffer: 10mg of drug (Troxipide) was taken in 100ml volumetric flack dissolved in 25 ml of pH 1.2 solution after soluble completely make up the volume to 100ml (stock solution). From the stock solution 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3 ml taken in 10 ml volumetric flask. Make up the volume to 10 ml with buffer solution. The peak max was observed at 256nm.

Calibration curve in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer: 10mg of drug (Troxipide) was taken in 100ml volumetric flack dissolved in 1ml methanol make up the volume to 100ml with pH 6.8 phosphate solution (stock solution). From the stock solution

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402 © 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

l Length Original Research Paper

0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3 ml taken in 10 ml volumetric flask. Make up the volume to 10 ml with buffer solution. The peak maximum in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer was found to be 258.27 nm.

Hardness: Pfizer hardness tester was used for the determination of the hardness. The tablet was placed in contact between the plungers and the handle was pressed, the force of the fracture was recorded. In this work, for each formulation the hardness of 6 tablets was evaluated. The results were observed in table-2.

Weight variation: In weight variation test twenty tablets were selected at a random and average weight was calculated. Then individual tablets were weighed and the weight was compared with an average weight. The results were observed in table-2.

Thickness: The crown-to-crown thicknesses of ten tablets from each batch were determined using vernier calipers. The results were observed in table-2.

Page 398

Friability: The Friability of the tablets was determined using Roche friabilator (Electrolab). This device subjects the tablets to the combined effect of abrasions and shock in a plastic chamber revolving at 25 rpm and dropping the tablets at a height of 6 inches in each revolution. Weighed sample of tablets was placed in the friabilator and were subjected to 100 revolutions. Tablets were de-dusted and reweighed.

The friability (F) is given by the formula: $F = (1 - W0 / W) \times 100$

Where, W0 is the weight of the tablets before the test and W is the weight of the tablet after the test. The results were observed in table-2.

Drug content: For determination of drug content at least two tablets from each formulation were weighed individually, pulverized, and diluted to 250ml with sufficient amount of phosphate buffer pH 6.8. After that an aliquot of the filtrate was diluted and analyzed spectrophotometrically at 256nm. The results were observed in table-2.

In vitro drug release studies: 10

In vitro drug release studies for the prepared matrix tablets were conducted for a period of 12 hrs using a 8 station USP -2 (LABINDIA DS8000.) apparatus at 37±0.50C and at 100 rpm speed, the in vitro release study was performed in 0.1 N HCL pH 1.2 for 2 hrs and in phosphate buffer pH 6.8 up to 12 hrs. At every interval 5 ml of sample was withdrawn from the dissolution medium and replaced with fresh medium to maintain the volume constant. After filtration and appropriate dilution, the sample solutions were analyzed at 256 nm for Troxipide by a UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The amount of drug present in the samples was calculated. The results were observed in table-3, and graph-1. Kinetics of drug release from formulation was described in the table-4.

Results and discussions:

In the troxipide matrix tablets formula F4 release drug 91.88% for 12hrs, so it was optimized all the 6 formulations. F1 release completely with in 5hrs. F2 formulation drug release was found to be 90.89% within 6hrs. F3 formulation drug release was found to be 91.58% at 7 hrs. F5 and F6 formulation drug release was found to be 83.03 & 61.22 % respectively.

© 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1: Composition of sustained release Troxipide matrix tablets.

| Ingredients | F1(1:0.5) | F2(1:1) | F3(1:1.5) | F4(1:2) | F5(1:2.5) | F6(1:3) |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Troxipide(mg) | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| HPMC(mg) | 75 | 150 | 225 | 300 | 375 | 450 |
| Lactose (mg) | 375 | 300 | 225 | 150 | 75 | - |
| Talc (mg) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mg.sterate (mg) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Table 2: Evaluation parameters

| Formulation | Hardness (kg/cm ³) | Weight variation | Thickness (mm) | Friability | Drug content |
|-------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| F1 | 7.2±0.5 | pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.5±0.05 | 99.25±0.75 |
| F2 | 6.8±0.5 | Pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.7±0.05 | 98.95±0.75 |
| F3 | 7.1±0.5 | Pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.9±0.05 | 99.21±0.75 |
| F4 | 6.9±0.5 | Pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.5±0.05 | 98.89±0.75 |
| F5 | 7.4±0.5 | Pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.6±0.05 | 99.56±0.75 |
| F6 | 7.2±0.5 | Pass | 3.52±0.2 | 0.8±0.05 | 100.21±0.75 |

Table 3: Cummulative % drug release

| time | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 1.24 | 1.59 | 0.967 | 0.924 | 1.311 | 1.22 |
| 1 | 20.3 | 27.29 | 17.45 | 11.25 | 8.8 | 8.41 |
| 2 | 29.97 | 34.95 | 27.36 | 17.69 | 13.54 | 12.44 |
| 3 | 32.58 | 38.35 | 31.2 | 20.45 | 15.68 | 14.92 |
| 4 | 89.14 | 57.12 | 61.19 | 28.79 | 22.7 | 21.39 |
| 5 | 96.11 | 74.23 | 76.19 | 56.36 | 45.15 | 27.73 |
| 6 | | 90.89 | 87.37 | 60.96 | 53.69 | 46.49 |
| 7 | | | 91.58 | 67.28 | 59.22 | 49.39 |
| 8 | | | | 71.36 | 62.9 | 53.46 |
| 9 | | | | 76.75 | 67.11 | 56.36 |
| 10 | | | | 79.9 | 69.35 | 58.2 |
| 11 | | | | 85.3 | 75.66 | 59.78 |
| 12 | | | | 91.85 | 83.03 | 61.22 |

Table 4: Release kinetics of Troxipide matrix tablets (F1-F6)

| Model | Parameter | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |
|---------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Zero order | \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.857 | 0.952 | 0.956 | 0.941 | 0.948 | 0.925 |
| First order | \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.794 | 0.842 | 0.927 | 0.954 | 0.965 | 0.955 |
| Higuchi | \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.754 | 0.899 | 0.884 | 0.921 | 0.905 | 0.903 |
| Korsmeyer peppas | \mathbb{R}^2 | 0.827 | 0.892 | 0.944 | 0.952 | 0.948 | 0.952 |

IR spectrum of formulation

Figure-1 T-Troxipide, TH- Troxipide+ HPMC 15 LV





Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402 © 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.



Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier © 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted

Time hrs

Page 400

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402

noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Full Length Original Research Paper

Conclusion:

In the formulation of troxipide matrix tablets revealed that, due to increase polymer concentration drug release was found to be prolong. The anti ulcer activity was prolonged by this formulation. With the other natural and synthetic polymers can be used for prolong the therapeutic effect of Troxipide. The polymers play an important role in the release of drug from formulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors wish to thank

Nirmala college of pharmacy Atmakur for providing raw materials and also for technical support. Tanks to my guide and principal of Nirmala college of pharmacy Dr. Katakam Prakash garu, and my friends their valuable support.

REFERENCES:

- 1) Hariprasanna R.C et.al., Design and Evaluation Twice Daily Lornoxicam Bi-Layer Matrix Tablets By Using Hydrophilic Polymer Sodium Alginate Asian Journal of Biochemical and Pharmaceutical Research Issue 2 (Vol. 1) 2011 552-561.
- 2) Asraful Islam et.al., Formulation and in vitro Evaluation of Betahistine Dihydrochloride Twice Daily Controlled Release Matrix Tablet Dhaka Univ. J. Pharm. Sci. 10(2):, 2011 (December), 93-100.
- Raghavendra rao N.Get.al., Formulation and 3) evaluation of sustained release matrixtablets of tramadol hydrochloride Internatinal journal of pharmaceutical sciences, vol-1, suppl 1, novdec-2009 pg no: 361-370.
- 4) Dabbagha, M.A., Forda, J.L., Rubinsteina, M.H., Hogan, J.E., 1996. Effects of polymer particle size, compactionpressure and hydrophilic polymers

on drug release frommatrices containing ethylcellulose. Inter. J. Pharm. 140, 85-95.

- Cameron, C.G., McGinity, J.W., 1987. Controlled 5) release theophylline tablet formulations containing acrylic resins, II. Combination resin formulations. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 13, 1409-1427.
- Monica Gupta and Vandana Mallah A Stability 6) Indicating **RP-HPLC** Method for the determination of Troxipide in Bulk and Commercial Formulation Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2012, 4 (5):1376-1385.
- 7) Ravi pratap pulla, dr.b.s.sastry et.al., Estimation Of Troxipide In Tablet Dosage Form By Rp-Hplc Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, Vol 3, Suppl 4, 316-318.
- 8) Dewan Bhupesh, Sahu Navajit Bioequivalence of Troxipide Tablet Formulations Study www.omicsonline.org Bioequiv Availab J Volume 2(2): 050-054 (2010), 050-054.
- http://www.chemistry.ccsu.edu/glagovich/teac 9) hing/316/ir/table.html.
- 10) R.Charulatha, R.Kumaravel Rajan et.al., Design and Evaluation of Carbamazepine Controlled Release Drug Delivery System, international journal of pharmtech research coden (usa): ijprif issn : 0974-4304 vol.4, no.1, jan-mar 2012 pp 25-34.
- 11) R.k.kar, S.mohapatra, B.B.barik design and characterization of controlled release matrix tablets of zidovudine asian iournal of pharmaceutical and clinical research, volume 2, issue 2, april-june, 2009, 54-61.
- 12) S.Shanmugam, Ramva Chakrahari, T.Ayyappan, K.Sundaramoorthy, et.al., Formulation and Evaluation of Sustained Release Matrix Tablets of Losartan potassium, International Journal of PharmTech Research CODEN (USA): IJPRIF ISSN : 0974-4304 Vol.3, No.1, pp 526-234, Jan-Mar 2011.
- 13) Tapaswi Rani Dash, Pankaj Verma et.al., Matrix Tablets: An Approach towards Oral Extended Release Drug Delivery International Journal of

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402 © 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pharma Research & Review, Feb 2013; 2(2):12-24.

Article History:-----

Date of Submission: 12-07-2013 Date of Acceptance: 24-07-2013 Conflict of Interest: NIL Source of Support: NONE





Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 396-402 © 2013 Talari. Sivannarayana et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.