

International Journal of Drug Development & Research | Oct-Dec 2010 | Vol. 2 | Issue 4 | ISSN 0975-9344 | Available online http://www.ijddr.in Covered in Official Product of Elsevier, The Netherlands ©2010 IJDDR

# EVALUATION OF ANTI-DIARRHOEAL EFFECT OF AQUEOUS AND ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF FRUIT PULP OF *TERMINALIA BELERICA* IN RATS

Bimlesh Kumar1\*, Kalyani Divakar2, Prashant Tiwari3, Manoj Salhan4, Diwakar Goli5

<sup>1,3,4</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab. <sup>2</sup> HOD, Department of Pharmacy, Achraya & B M Reddy College of Pharmacy, Soldevanhalli, Bangalore, Karnataka, India <sup>5</sup> Principal, Department of Pharmacy, Achraya & B M Reddy College of Pharmacy, Soldevanhalli, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

## ABSTRACT

Present study was aimed to evaluate the anti-diarrhoeal effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of fruit pulp of Terminalia belerica at the doses of 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg, in castor oil induced diarrhoea, PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling and gastrointestinal motility test. Diarrhoea was induced in rats by the administration of 1ml of castor oil. Percentage protection in castor oil induced diarrhoea by aqueous and ethanolic extract at 334 mg/kg was 73.37 and 63.58 respectively. In PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling  $100\mu$ g/kg of PGE<sub>2</sub> was administered to rats showed very significant anti-enteropooling effect produced by both the extracts. In gastrointestinal motility test, 1ml of charcoal was administered to induce diarrhoea and the percentage protection of 334 mg/kg of aqueous extract and ethanolic extract was found out to be 67.20 and 68.27 respectively. Comparison of percentage protection in these models revealed that the extracts have more prominent anti-secretory effect than the reduction in gastrointestinal motility. Only high doses of both the extracts showed very significant action in castor oil induced diarrhoea and gastrointestinal motility test but in PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling the proportion of anti-diarrhoeal effect was not same as the proportion in which the dose increased. The anti-diarrhoeal effect of both the extracts might be due to the presence of tannins, flavonoids and alkaloid and these phytoconstituents might be stimulating the reabsorption and/or anti-secretory effect in the intestinal lumen as well as significantly enhancing intestinal transit time and intestinal motility decreased.

*Key words:* Anti-diarrhoeal effect, Terminalia belerica, Castor oil induced diarrhoea, PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling, Gastrointestinal motility test.

# Introduction

In developing country, the majority of people living in rural areas are using traditional medicines in treating all sorts of diseases including diarrhoea. There are large numbers of epidemiological & experimental evidence pertaining to worldwide acute diarrhoeal diseases that are one of the principal cause of death in infants, particularly in developing countries [1]. Diarrhoea

#### For Correspondence:

E-mail: bimlesh.12474@lpu.co.in Telephone: +919872260354 (Greek and Latin: *dia*, through, and *rheein*, to flow or run) is the passage of loose or watery stools, usually at least three times in a 24-hour period. Scientists usually define diarrhoea as excessive fluid weight with 200g per day representing the upper limit of normal stool water weight for healthy adults in the western world [2]. There are an estimated 1.8 billion episodes of childhood diarrhoea per year and virtually all of these acute diarrhoeal episodes are related to infectious agents. In some parts of Africa preschool children may suffer up to seven attacks of acute diarrhoea annually,

# Bimlesh Kumar et al Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal effect of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruit pulp of Terminalia belerica in rats

although the average worldwide is approximately three episodes per year [3]. Diarrhoea can be regarded as a global menace which can culminate in mortality and morbidity of the incumbent due to loss of fluids and **electrolytes** from the body. The plausible implicating factors inducing diarrhoea can range from infective to immunological and nutritive factors [4]. World-wide, nearly nine million children (under the age of five years) die every year as a result of diarrhoea. An estimated 88% of diarrhoeal-related deaths are caused by inadequate sanitation and poor hygiene. Diarrhoeal diseases are one of the leading causes of childhood morbidity and mortality in developing countries [5].

Terminalia belerica (Family: Combretaceae) is also known as Vibhitaka, Aksha, Vipitakaha (Sanskrit), Bahera, Bhaira (Hindi), Berang (Gujarati). This tree is an important ayurvedic herb and is found throughout India, Burma & Ceylon below elevations of about 3000 ft., except in dry & acrid region of Sind & Rajputana [6]. The fruits contain about 20 to 30% of tannins, fixed oil, saponins, a resinous residue and three amorphous, hygroscopic glycosidal compounds and colouring matter. The fruit of this plant possesses antibacterial properties. It is employed in dropsy, piles and diarrhoea, fruits is bitter, astringent, laxative, analgesics and brain tonic [7], antipyretic, useful in the dyspepsia, diarrhoea, bilious headache, eyes, to piles, brain tonic (unani) [6]. Since, there is no scientific study to substantiate the traditional claim on anti-diarrhoeal activity of the plant, in view of this, the present study was undertaken to evaluate anti-diarrhoeal activity of fruit pulp extracts of Terminalia belerica using various experimental diarrhoeal models in rats.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant collection and authentication

Fruit of *Terminalia belerica* were collected from the local market of Sitamarhi district of Bihar. The authentication was done by Dr. Shiddamallaya N. of Regional Research Institute (Ay.), Bangalore,

Karnataka. A voucher specimen No. was RRI/BNG/SMP/drug authentication/2007-08-284.

### **Preparation of Extract**

The fruit pulp was collected and shade dried. The fruit pulp was coarsely powdered and packed in to Soxhlet column and extracted with ethanol at 77-79°C, and distilled water at 100°C. The extracts were concentrated by distillation then dried on water bath. The dried extracts were stored in airtight container.

**Phytochemical evaluation:** Phytochemical examinations were carried out for all the extracts as per the standard methods.

*Detection of alkaloids:* Extracts were dissolved individually in dilute Hydrochloric acid and filtered.

**Mayer's Test:** Filtrates were treated with Mayer's reagent (Potassium Mercuric iodide).
 Formation of a yellow cream precipitate indicates the presence of Alkaloids.

**b) Wagner's test:** Filtrates were treated with Wagner's reagent (Iodine in potassium iodide).
 Formation of brown/reddish precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

c) **Dragendroff's test:** Filtrates were treated with Dragendroff's reagent (solution of potassium bismuth iodide). Formation of red precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

 d) Hager's test: Filtrates were treated with Hager's reagent (saturated picric acid solution).
 Presence of alkaloids confirmed by the formation of yellow colored precipitate.

*Detection of carbohydrates:* Extracts were dissolved individually in 5 ml distilled water and filtered. The filtrates were used to test for the presence of carbohydrates.

a) Molisch's Test: Filtrates were treated with 2 drops of alcoholic  $\alpha$ -naphthol solution in a test tube and 2 ml of Conc. Sulphuric acid was added carefully along the sides of the test tube. Violet ring at the junction indicates the presence of Carbohydrates.

770

**b) Benedict's test:** Filtrates were treated with Benedict's reagent and heated on water bath. Orange red precipitate indicates the presence of reducing sugars.

c) Fehling's test: Filtrates were hydrolysed with dil. HCl, neutralized with alkali and heated with Fehling's A & B solutions. Formation of red precipitate indicates the presence of reducing sugars.

*Detection of glycosides:* Extracts were hydrolysed with dil. HCl, and then subjected to test for glycosides.

a) Modified Borntrager's Test: Extracts were treated with Ferric Chloride solution and immersed in boiling water for about 5 minutes. The mixture was cooled and shaken with an equal volume of benzene. The benzene layer was separated and treated with ammonia solution. Formation of rose-pink colour in the ammonical layer indicates the presence of anthranol glycosides.

 b) Legal's test: Extracts were treated with sodium nitropruside in pyridine and methanolic alkali.
 Formation of pink to blood red colour indicates the presence of cardiac glycosides.

# Detection of saponins

a) Froth Test: Extracts were diluted with distilled water to 20ml and this was shaken in a graduated cylinder for 15 minutes. Formation of 1 cm layer of foam indicates the presence of saponins.

**b)** Foam test: Small amount of extract was shaken with little quantity of water. If foam produced persists for ten minutes it indicates the presence of saponins.

# Detection of phytosterols

a) Salkowski's Test: Extracts were treated with chloroform and filtered. The filtrates were treated with few drops of Conc. Sulphuric acid, shaken and allowed to stand. Appearance of golden yellow colour indicates the presence of triterpenes.

*b)* **Libermann Burchard's test:** Extracts were treated with chloroform and filtered. The filtrates were

treated with few drops of acetic anhydride, boiled and cooled. Conc. Sulphuric acid was added carefully along the sides of the test tube. Formation of brown ring at the junction indicates the presence of phytosterols.

### **Detection of phenols**

**Ferric Chloride Test:** Extracts were treated with few drops of ferric chloride solution. Formation of bluish black colour indicates the presence of phenols.

### **Detection of tannins**

**Gelatin Test:** To the extract, 1% gelatin solution containing sodium chloride was added. Formation of white precipitate indicates the presence of tannins.

### Detection of flavanoids

*a)* Alkaline Reagent Test: Extracts were treated with few drops of sodium hydroxide solution. Formation of intense yellow colour, which becomes colourless on addition of dilute acid, indicates the presence of flavonoids.

**b) Lead acetate Test:** Extracts were treated with few drops of lead acetate solution. Formation of yellow colour precipitate indicates the presence of flavonoids.

### Detection of proteins and aminoacids

a) Xanthoproteic Test: The extracts were treated with few drops of concentrated Nitric acid solution. Formation of yellow colour indicates the presence of proteins.

**b) Ninhydrin test:** To the extract, 0.25% ninhydrin reagent was added and boiled for few minutes. Formation of blue colour indicates the presence of amino acid.

### **Detection of diterpenes**

**Copper acetate Test:** Extracts were dissolved in water and treated with few drops of copper acetate solution. Formation of emerald green colour indicates the presence of diterpenes [8].

# Animals used

Albino Rats (Wistar strain) weighing 150-200 gm of either sex were used. They were procured from M/s. Veterinary College, Bangalore. The animals were allowed for acclimatization for ten days under laboratory conditions. They were housed in polypropylene cages and maintained at 27°C±2°C, relative humidity 65±10% under 12 hrs light / dark cycles. The animals were fed regularly and water ad libitum. Protocol was approved from the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) its reference no was IAEC/PP/03/2006-2007.

# Anti-diarrhoeal activity

# 1. Castor oil induced diarrhoea

Healthy albino rats of the either sex (160-190 g) were divided into 8 groups of 6 animals each. They were fasted for 18 h prior to the test, with free access to water. Group I received the vehicle and served as the control group. Groups II was treated with standard drug (Loperamide 3 mg/kg), Group III, IV &V were treated with 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg doses of AQETB and group VI, VII & VIII received 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg doses of EQETB respectively. All drugs/vehicle were administered orally (p.o.). After thirty minutes of the drug treatment, each rat was administered 1 ml of castor oil orally and housed separately in plastic cages, with special provision to collect faecal matter on filter paper. The diarrhoeal episodes were observed for 4 h. The cumulative wet weight of the stools was noted at the end of the 4<sup>th</sup> h. Percent inhibition of diarrhoea was calculated using the mean stool weight. Anti-diarrhoeal activity was determined in terms of percentage protection.

# 2. Prostaglandin- $E_2$ induced enteropooling

Eight groups of albino rats of either sex, each comprising 6 animals (160-200 g), were fasted for 18 h prior to the experiment. Group I was treated with vehicle which served as control. Group II was

administered with standard (Loperamide 3 mg/kg p.o.), Group III, IV &V were treated with 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg doses of AQETB and group VI, VII & VIII received 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of EQETB respectively. Immediately after that, all the rats were treated orally with prostaglandin  $E_2$  (100 µg/kg) in 5% ethanol in normal saline, and were sacrificed after 30 min. The intestine from the pylorus to the caecum was dissected and the intestinal contents were measured. Percentage reduction of intestinal secretion (volume) was calculated.

### 3. Gastrointestinal motility test

Albino rats of either sex (160-200 g) were divided into 8 groups of 6 animals each. They were fasted for 24 h prior to the test, but allowed free access to water. Group I was treated with vehicle (p.o.), which served as control, Group II treated with standard drug atropine sulphate (5 mg/kg, p.o.), Group III, IV and V administered with 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of AQETB (p.o.), Group VI, VII and VIII treated with 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB respectively. After 30 min, 1 ml of charcoal (3% deactivated charcoal in normal saline) was administered orally to all rats and 30 min later, all the rats were sacrificed. The distance travelled by the charcoal from the pylorus to the caecum was noted.

### Statistical evaluation

The results of each groups were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's test and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

# RESULTS

### **Phytochemical screening**

The analysis revealed that the presence of carbohydrates, fats and oils, glycosides, flavonoids, alkaloid, tannins, saponins in the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruit pulp of *Terminalia belerica*. (Table 1)

| Sl. No. | Tests                    | Aqueous extract | Ethanolic extract |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1       | Alkaloids                | +               | +                 |
| 2       | Phenols and Tannins      | +               | +                 |
| 3       | Carbohydrates            | +               | +                 |
| 4       | Saponins                 | +               | +                 |
| 5       | Flavonoids               | +               | +                 |
| 6       | Steroids                 | -               | -                 |
| 7       | Glycosides               | +               | +                 |
| 8       | Triterpenoids            | +               | +                 |
| 9       | Proteins and amino acids | -               | -                 |

Table 1: Results of phytochemical screening of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Terminalia belerica.

 $(+) = Present, (-) = \overline{Absent}$ 

# Castor oil induced diarrhoea

All the doses of AQETB tested exhibited very significant anti-diarrhoeal effect on oral administration against castor oil induced diarrhoea (Table 2). The AQETB prolonged the onset time of diarrhoea (i.e. delayed the onset time of defecation) 137.5min, 135min, and 65 min. with the doses of 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, and 143 mg/kg of AQETB respectively. There was very significant (p<0.01) delay in the onset of diarrhoea with 334 mg/kg of AQETB, 200 mg/kg of AQETB but 143 mg/kg AQETB showed nonsignificant (p>0.05) effect in delay in onset of diarrhoea. AQETB also decreased the no. of wet defecation, no. of dry defecation and paper weight (in gm) with respect to control and their effect was very significant (p<0.01). The percentage protection against castor oil induced diarrhoea by 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of AQETB with respect to control was found as 73.37, 59.61 and 60.70 respectively. On the other hand 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB also showed delay in the defecation time i.e. 131.6min, 123.33min, 79.16min respectively. The delay in the defecation time by 334mg/kg of ETETB was very significant (p<0.01), by 200 mg/kg of ETETB was significant (p<0.05) and 143 mg/kg of ETETB was non-significant (p>0.05). All the doses of ETETB also decreased the no. of wet defecation, no. of dry defecation and paper weight (in gm) with respect to control and the value was very significant (p<0.01). The percentage protection against castor oil induced diarrhoea by 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB with respect to control was found as 63.58, 56.58 and 57.02 respectively. 334 mg/kg of both the extracts showed higher percentage protection but 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of both the extract showed nearly equal percentage protection ranges from 57 to 60 (Figure 1). AQETB showed more percentage protection with respect to ETETB when compared with control. Overall the percentage protection given by loperamide (3mg/kg), 334 mg/kg of AQETB, and 334 mg/kg of ETETB was obtained as 98.88, 73.37, and 63.58 respectively.

| Table 2: Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal ad | ctivity of aqueous an  | d ethanolic extracts | of fruit pulp of 7 | <sup>r</sup> erminalia belerica |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
|   | against castor oil ind | luced diarrhea       |                    |                                 |

| Gp. No. | Treatment (mg/kg)        | Wet defecation (no.) | Dry<br>Defecation (no.) | Paper weight after defecation (gm) | Delayed defecation<br>Time (minutes) |
|---------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ι       | Distilled Water (0.1 ml) | $8.17\pm0.47$        | $8.33\pm0.95$           | $6.17 \pm 0.25$                    | $23.33\pm3.70$                       |
| II      | Loperamide (3 mg/kg)     | $0.00 \pm 0.00 **$   | $0.17 \pm 0.16^{**}$    | $0.08 \pm 0.08 **$                 | $239.17 \pm 0.83 **$                 |
| III     | 334 mg/kg AQETB          | $2.66 \pm 0.55 **$   | $2.16 \pm 0.87 **$      | $1.41 \pm 0.44 **$                 | $137.5 \pm 46.78 **$                 |
| IV      | 200 mg/kg AQETB          | $3.83 \pm 0.79 **$   | $2.50 \pm 0.43 **$      | $2.75 \pm 0.36 **$                 | $135.0 \pm 11.76 **$                 |
| V       | 143 mg/kg AQETB          | $4.16 \pm 0.87 **$   | $2.66 \pm 0.21 **$      | $2.16 \pm 0.33 **$                 | $65.00 \pm 10.95^{ns}$               |
| VI      | 334 mg/kg ETETB          | $3.16 \pm 0.30 **$   | $3.00 \pm 0.25 **$      | $2.16 \pm 0.30 **$                 | $131.60 \pm 6.41 **$                 |
| VII     | 200 mg/kg ETETB          | $3.66 \pm 0.33 **$   | $3.50 \pm 0.43 **$      | $2.66 \pm 0.35 **$                 | $123.33 \pm 15.14*$                  |
| VIII    | 143 mg/kg ETETB          | $4.00 \pm 0.89 **$   | $1.83 \pm 0.87 **$      | 3.58 ± 0.58**                      | $79.16 \pm 11.36^{ns}$               |

\*\* = p<0.01 = Very significant, \* = p<0.05 = Significant, ns = p>0.05 = Non significant, No. of animals (N) = 6, Values are expressed as mean ± SEM.



Figure 1: Percentage protection against castor oil induced diarrhoea by AQETB and ETETB

# PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling

 $PGE_2$  significantly increased the fluid volume in rat intestine in control group (Table 3). The percentage reduction of enteropooling exhibited by 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of AQETB was 85.88, 83.52, 79.76 respectively with respect to control and the reduced volume of intestinal content by AQETB was very significant (p<0.01). On the other hand 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB showed very significant (p<0.01) reduction in the volume of intestinal content and their percentage protection against enteropooling was 80.94, 79.76 and 67.76 respectively. The results indicate though there is dose dependent anti-enteropooling activity by both the extracts but there is no much increase in the effect by the doses of 200 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg (Figure 2). Anti-enteropooling effect of AQETB was more than ETETB.

| against $PGE_2$ induced enteropooling |                          |                                   |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Gp no.                                | Treatment (mg/kg)        | Volume of intestinal content (ml) |
| I.                                    | Distilled water (0,1 ml) | $4.25 \pm 0.17$                   |

Table 3: Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruit pulp of *Terminalia belerica* 

| Gp no. | Treatment (mg/kg)        | Volume of intestinal content (ml) |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| I.     | Distilled water (0.1 ml) | $4.25 \pm 0.17$                   |
| II.    | Loperamide (3 mg/kg)     | $0.18 \pm 0.61$ **                |
| III.   | 334 mg/kg AQETB          | $0.5 \pm 0.14$ **                 |
| IV.    | 200 mg/kg AQETB          | $0.7 \pm 0.08 **$                 |
| V.     | 143 mg/kg AQETB          | $0.86 \pm 0.04 **$                |
| VI.    | 334 mg/kg ETETB          | $0.81 \pm 0.15$ **                |
| VII.   | 200 mg/kg ETETB          | $0.86 \pm 0.15 **$                |
| VIII.  | 143 mg/kg ETETB          | $1.37 \pm 0.24$ **                |

\*\* = p < 0.01 = Very significant, No. of animals (N) = 6; Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM



Figure 2: Percentage protection against PGE<sub>2</sub> induced enteropooling by AQETB and ETETB

# Gastrointestinal motility test

AQETB and ETETB decreased significantly the propulsion of charcoal meal through the gastrointestinal tract when compared with control (Table 4). Percentage inhibition of charcoal movement in GIT by 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, and 143 mg/kg of AQETB was 67.20, 20.89, and 16.75 respectively. AQETB at the dose of 334 mg/kg showed the very significant (p<0.01) percentage movement of charcoal from pylorus to caecum. In case of 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of AQETB percentage movement of charcoal from pylorus to caecum was non- significant (p>0.05). On the other hand 334 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB showed percentage reduction of charcoal movement in GIT was 68.27, 7.02, and 4.41 respectively. ETETB at the dose of 334 mg/kg showed the very significant (p<0.01) percentage movement of charcoal from pylorus to caecum. In case of 200 mg/kg, 143 mg/kg of ETETB percentage movement of charcoal from pylorus to caecum was non-significant (p>0.05). Mainly 334 mg/kg of AQETB and 334 mg/kg of ETETB showed 67.20 and 68.27 percentage reduction in the movement of charcoal in GIT with respect to control and atropine sulphate 5 mg/kg exhibited 82.92 of reduction of charcoal movement (Figure 3). At the dose of 334 mg/kg of both extracts showed almost equal value of percentage protection of GI motility i.e. 67.20 and 68.27 respectively.

**Table 4:** Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruit pulp of

| <i>Terminalia belerica</i> in gastrointestinar motinty test |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment<br>(mg/kg)  | Percentage movement of charcoal<br>from pylorus to caecum (cm)   |  |  |  |
| Distilled water (<br>0.1 ml)                                | $84.26 \pm 4.90$   |  |  |  |
| Atropine (5<br>mg/kg)                                       | 14.39 ± 1.78**   |  |  |  |
| 334 mg/kg<br>AQETB  | 27.83 ± 17.60**  |  |  |  |
| 200 mg/kg<br>AQETB  | $66.65 \pm 3.72$ ns  |  |  |  |
| 143 mg/kg<br>AQETB  | $70.15 \pm 2.17$ <sup>ns</sup>   |  |  |  |
| 334 mg/kg<br>ETETB  | 26.74 ± 12.33**  |  |  |  |
| 200 mg/kg<br>ETETB  | $78.34 \pm 1.72$ ns  |  |  |  |
| 143 mg/kg<br>ETETB  | $80.54 \pm 3.23$ <sup>ns</sup>   |  |  |  |
|   | Treatment<br>(mg/kg)Distilled water (<br>0.1 ml )Atropine (5<br>mg/kg)334 mg/kg<br>AQETB200 mg/kg<br>AQETB143 mg/kg<br>AQETB334 mg/kg<br>ETETB200 mg/kg<br>ETETB143 mg/kg<br>ETETB143 mg/kg<br>ETETB |  |  |  |

\*\* = p<0.01 = Very significant, <sup>ns</sup>.p>0.05 = Non significant, No. of animals (N) = 6; Values are expressed as mean ± SEM



Figure 3: Percentage protection against gastrointestinal motility by AQETB and ETETB

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Many anti-diarrhoeal agents by reducing act the gastrointestinal motility and/or the secretions. It is well known that ricinoleic acid, an active compound of castor oil formed in the upper small intestine and being polar poorly absorbed [1,9] induces changes in the mucosal permeability, electrolyte transport and intestinal peristalsis, leading to hypersecretory response and diarrhoea. The ricinoleic acid readily forms ricinoleate salts with sodium and potassium in the lumen of the intestine. Ricinoleate has several actions that could account for its anti-absorptive effect on the mucosa. It inhibits the enzyme sodium-potassium ATPase and increases the permeability of the intestinal epithelium, produces a cytotoxic effect on isolated enterocytes. It may stimulate epithelial cell adenyl cyclase, releases PG or other metabolite of arachidonic acid and platelet activating factor [10, 11]. Ricinoleate acts as a calcium ionophore, increasing the influx of extracellular calcium which activates calmodulin dependent secretory mechanism [11, 12]. Ricinoleic acid causes local irritation and inflammation of the intestinal mucosa leading to PG release, which causes an increase in the net secretion of water and electrolytes into the small intestine. Inhibitors of PG biosynthesis delay castor oil induced diarrhoea. PGE<sub>2</sub> causes diarrhoea in experimental animals as well as in human beings [9]. Most recently NO has been claimed to contribute to the inhibitory nor adrenergic, non cholinergic neurotransmitter that mediates gastrointestinal motility in physiological and certain non-pathophysiological states, such as in absorptive and secretory processes. NO could lead to gut secretion via elevation of cGMP and cAMP concentration [13]. AQETB and ETETB might be inhibiting the synthesis of ricinoleic acid as a result of this local irritation and intestinal motility decreased or inhibited and in turn brings the absorption of water, electrolytes and glucose. Apart from this AQETB and ETETB might be also inhibiting the synthesis of PGE<sub>2</sub> and NO and/or their activity in turn to prevent diarrhoea even the extracts might produced anti-diarrhoeal effect by anti-secretory effect.

PGE<sub>2</sub> agonist acts on EP1, EP2, EP3, EP4 type of PG receptors [10] and these all prostanoid receptors are G-protein coupled receptor which utilizes the IP<sub>3</sub>/DAG or cAMP transducer mechanism. EP1 causes smooth muscles contraction through IP<sub>3</sub>/DAG pathway and EP2 causes smooth muscles relaxation by increasing cAMP [12]. PGE<sub>2</sub> causes stimulation of gut motility and conversion of small intestinal mucosa from absorption to secretion of water and electrolytes. PGE<sub>2</sub> causes accumulation of fluid in intestinal lumen this fluid is accumulated because of the inhibition of absorption of sodium, chloride and glucose (a major stimulus to intestinal absorption of water and electrolytes) [7, 12, 16]. The AQETB and ETETB might be enhancing the absorption of water, electrolytes and glucose and also brings the antisecretory effect of intestinal mucosa as a result of this antidiarrhoeal effect occured. These extracts might be also inhibiting secretory effect of NO.

The gastrointestinal tract is innervated by both the parasympathetic and sympathetic fibers of the autonomic nervous system. The peristalsis movement of gastrointestinal tract is myogenic in character and is mainly initiated by the local reflexes and can occur without neural connections to the brain and spinal cord. The extrinsic nerves to the intestine appear to have a minor role in modulating the peristalsis activity of the organ [17]. As cholinergic stimulation often cause diarrhea by increasing GI motility the significant inhibition of GI motility by the extract LHM suggested its probable mode of action to be the prevention of cholinergic transmission or its anticholinergic effect on gastric mucosa [18]. Both the extracts at the dose of 334 mg/kg have an ability to inhibit the peristaltic movement of GIT results the anti-motility effect which in turn allows the absorption of water and electrolytes as a result of this anti-diarrhoeal effect

occur. This effect of the extracts might be due to inhibition of acetylcholine and/or histamine effect on gut.

Many plants contain tannins and tannic acid which denature proteins by forming a complex (Protein tannate). This complex coats the intestinal mucosa and makes it more resistant while simultaneously diminishing gastric secretions [19]. Tannins are reported to have various physiological effects like anti-irritant, anti-secretory, anti-phlogistic, antimicrobial, and anti-parasitic [20]. Loperamide is used as the standard drug in our study as it stimulates absorption of fluid, electrolytes, and glucose and reversed PGE<sub>2</sub>, and cholera toxin-induced secretion to absorption. This opiate analogue had no effect on cholera toxin stimulation of adenylate cyclase activity or the rise of tissue cyclic AMP (cAMP) concentrations [21]. Another standard drug atropine significantly reduces intestinal transit time due to its anticholinergic effect. However, it does not inhibits castor oil induced enteropooling, thereby, suggesting that mediators other than acetylcholine are involved in castor oil-induced enteropooling. Furthermore, a decrease in intestinal transit time with atropine could also be due to reduction in gastric emptying [22].



Figure 4: Flow chart representing mechanism of castor oil induced diarrhea

The anti-diarrhoeal activity of flavonoids has been ascribed to their ability to inhibit intestinal motility and hydro-electrolytic secretion which are known to be altered in this intestinal condition. In vitro and in vivo experiments have shown that flavonoids are able to inhibit the intestinal secretary response, induced by prostaglandins E<sub>2</sub>. In addition, flavonoids have antioxidant properties which are presumed to be responsible for the inhibitory effects exerted upon several enzymes including those involved in the arachidonic acid metabolism [9]. The spasmolytic effects of flavonoids may have been due to a nonspecific action since they were also found to inhibit acetylcholine and BaCl<sub>2</sub>, induced contractions. Flavonoids were shown to inhibit electrically-induced contractions (transmural electrical stimulations) and those that are induced by a variety of agonists, such as acetylcholine, 5-HT, histamine and certain prostaglandins [23]. Alkaloids are known to inhibit the release of autocoids and prostaglandin, thereby inhibiting the secretion induced by castor oil. Sesquiterpenes, diterpenes, terpenes and terpenoid derivatives are known to inhibit the release of autocoids and prostaglandis, thereby inhibiting the motility and the secretion induced by castor oil [24].

The result of this investigation revealed that the *Terminalia belerica* contains pharmacologically active substances with anti-diarrhoeal properties. Thus we presume that AQETB and ETETB can be developed to use for the treatment of diarrhoea. Further studies are required to carry out the identification of the proper constituents that are responsible for the anti-diarrhoeal effect.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. Divakar Goli, Principal, Department of Pharmacy, Achraya & BM Reddy College of Pharmacy, Soldevanhalli, Bangalore, Karnataka, India for providing necessary facilities and cooperation during this research work.

### REFERENCES

- Sahoo J, Sahu PK, Tripathe DK. Anti-diarrhoeal activity of Listea Glutinosa Leaves. Adv. Pharmacol.Toxicol. 2006; 7(1): 53-55.
- Owolabi OJ. Antidiarrhoeal Evaluation of the aqueous leaves extract of Costus lucanusianus (Costaceae). Journal of Applied Sciences Research 2007; 3(12): 2052-2055.
- 3) Casburn Jones AC, Farthing, MJG. Management of infectious diarrhoea. Gut 2004; 53: 296–305.
- 4) Banji, D., Banji, O., Shanthmurthy, M., Singh, M., 2010. Antidiarrhoeal activity of the alcoholic extract of the leaves of Butea frondosa Koen. Ex Roxb. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 72, 238-240.
- Antwi S, Martey ONK, Donkor K, Nii-Ayitey Okine LK. Anti-diarrhoeal activity of Blighia sapida (Sapindaceae) in rats and mice. J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2009; 4: 117-125.
- 6) Kirtikar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants, Dehradun: International Book Distributors; 1999.
- Dhingra Dinesh, Valecha Rekha. Evaluation of antidepressant like activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of Terminalia bellirica Roxb. fruits in mice. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 2007; 45: 610-616.
- 8) Roopashree TS, Raman Dang, Shobha Rani RH, Narendra C. Antibacterial activity of anti-psoriatic herbs: Cassia tora, Momordica charantia and Calendula officinalis. International Journal of Applied Research in Natural Products 2008; 1(3): 20-28.
- 9) Rao N Venkat et al. Pharmacological investigation of Cardiospermum halicacabum (Linn) in different animal models of diarrhoea. Indian J Pharmacol 2006; 38: 346-349.
- 10) Munson PL, Muller RA, Breese GR. Principles of Pharmacology Basic Concept and Clinical Applications. New York: Chapman and Hall an International Thomson Publishing company; 1995.
- Goodman and Gilman. The pharmacological Basic of Therapeutics. 10th ed. New York, Chicago, New Delhi: Mc GrawHill Medical Publishing Division 2001.

# Bimlesh Kumar et al Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal effect of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruit pulp of Terminalia belerica in rats

- 12) Gullikson GW, Bass P, Caaky TS. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology. Berllin: Springer; 1984.
- 13) Liang Yu-Chih, Liu Hung-Jung, Chen Sheng-Hsuan, Chen Chun-Chin, Chou Liang- Shung. Effect of lipopolysaccharide on diarrhoea and gastrointestinal transit in mice: Roles of nitric oxide and prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>. World J Gastroenterol 2005; 11(3): 357-361.
- 14) Tripathi K D. Essentials of Pharmacology. 4th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) LTD; 2001.
- 15) Virupaksha. J.H. Evaluation of Anti-diarrhoeal activity of Fenugreek seed extracts in rats. B.H.M. Pharmacology online. 2007; 2: 255-266.
- 16) Aleem Khan. Protective effect of Arque-Ajeeb on acute experimental diarrhoea in rats. BMC Complément Altern Med. 2004; 4: 8.
- 17) T. Prakash. Antidiarrhoeal activity of Musa paradisca unripe fruit extracts. Adv. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2007; 8(1): 61-64.
- 18) Shaphiullah M, Bachar SC, Kundu JK, Begum F, Aftabuddin M, Roy SC, Khan MTH. Antidiarrhoeal activity of the methanol extract of ludwigia hyssopifolia linn. Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 2003; 16(1): 7-11.
- Westendarp H. Effects of tannins in animal nutrition. Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr 2006; 113(7): 264-268.
- 20) Venkatesan N. Anti-diarrhoeal potential of Asparagus racemosus wild root extracts in laboratory animals. J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci. 2005; 8(1): 39-46.
- Sandhu BK, Tripp JH, Candy DCA, Harries JT. Loperamide: studies on its mechanism of action. Gut 1981; 22: 658-662.
- 22) Kouitcheu mabeku laure B, Penlap Beng V, Kouam J, Ngadjui Bonaventure T, Fomum ZT, Etoa FX. Evaluation of anti-diarrhoeal activity of the stem bark of Cylicodiscus gabunensis (mimosaceae). African Journal of Biotechnology 2006; 5 (11): 1062-1066.
- 23) Giulia Di Carlo, Nicola Mascolo, Angelo A. Izzo, and Francesco Capasso. Flavonoids: old and new aspects of a class of natural therapeutic drugs. Life Sciences 1999; 65(4): 337-353.
- 24) Smeen M, Prabhu B, Agashikar NV. Evaluation of the antidiarrhoeal activity of the leaves of ixora coccinea linn. in rats. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 2010; 4(5).

Author Information: Mr. Bimlesh Kumar is working as Assistant Professor at the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India

Article History:-----Date of Submission: 11-09-10 Date of Acceptance: 02-12-10 Conflict of Interest: NIL Source of Support: NONE