

# **Biogenerics-need for Regulatory development in India**

# Palleti Lakshmi Prathusha

# N. Vishal Gupta\*

Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Group, Department of Pharmaceutics, JSS College of Pharmacy, JSS University, Shri Shivarathreeshwara Nagar, Mysore-570015, Karnataka, India

#### **Corresponding Authors:**

N. Vishal Gupta College of Pharmacy, Shri Shivarathreeshwara Nagar, Mysore - 570 015, Karnataka, India: E-mail: vkguptajss@gmail.com

Abstract: First-generation biopharmaceuticals have come off patent or lose marketing exclusivity. This has paved the way for the manufacture of generic biopharmaceuticals which are otherwise called as biogenerics. According to estimation, biologic drugs worth more than \$80 billion in global sales will lose patent protection by the year 2015, presenting a major opportunity for biogenerics. Biologic-based drugs are expected to push the contract manufacturing industry for growth and development over the next few years. Already India is enjoying a significant growth in the biogenerics manufacturing sector. But some of the significant obstacles with respect to regulations and their compliance with other countries must be considered. The main aim of the article is to demonstrate an immediate need for regulatory development of biogenerics in India. Since the market size for biogenerics is highly appreciable and has breaked the barriers of historically restricted usage due to highly costly biologicals. They are becoming technologically feasible and financially necessary, therefore are gaining regulatory and political attention. Indian companies can establish expertise in biogenerics, which can then be transferred to more established Dept. of Pharmaceutics, JSS pharmaceutical markets. Hence in order to make India as a leading contributor for biogenerics, a clear regulatory pathway is required to meet foreign standards. This will sufficiently provide an opportunity to India to compete with countries like America and Europe in terms of regulatory aspects of biogenerics.

Keywords: Biopharmaceuticals, biologics, regulatory, patent.

# NTRODUCTION:

Page

Biopharmaceuticals are drugs usually complex molecules derived from living organisms. It is most difficult to manufacture, quantify and purify them. Many first-generation biopharmaceuticals, including erythropoietin, human growth hormone, human insulin etc. which have come off patent or lose marketing exclusivity, have opened the door for the manufacture of generic biopharmaceuticals which are otherwise called as biogenerics. Copied versions of the biogenerics. biopharmaceuticals are When compared to traditional pharma products, they are more specific, less toxic and offer better benefits, modification survival disease & pharmaco-economic benefits to patients. But, because of their complex nature they are difficult to consistently manufacture in quality and quantity. At the same time, due to lack of specific regulations, approval of biogenerics in India became difficult task. [1, 2]

# **DISCUSSION:**

## Why Biogenerics?

- About two dozen biologics are likely to have lost 1. patent protection in the United States by 2010.
- 2. Appreciable market size for these biologic products.
- 'Replication' of biologic products attributed to 3. technological advancements.
- 4. High prices of branded biologics have historically restricted usage, but lower prices of biogenerics could significantly increase demand.

© 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

- 5. implementation Increasing of cost-cutting policies, designed to favor generic usage, by the Government.
- 6. Indian companies can establish expertise in biogenerics, which can then be transferred to more established pharmaceutical markets, while regulations in the United States and Western Europe are being sorted out.

Biogenerics are becoming technologically feasible and financially necessary, therefore are gaining regulatory and political attention.

#### Indian scenario

According to the RNCOS study, out of 40 biologics 25 are biogenerics. Other 25 biogenerics are in their last stages of development.<sup>[3]</sup> The Indian biogenerics market is expected to grow to \$580 million by 2013 from \$200 million in 2008 based on the statistics released by Datamonitor, the Indian biogenerics market, which was \$200 million in 2008, is expected to grow to \$580 million by 2013.[4]

Under the Trade-Related aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement, the pre-1995

product patents do not apply in India and this leaves as many as 48 biologicals that were patented prior to 1995, marketable in India. [5] Moreover, the innovators have not wanted patent protection for some drugs in India, thereby creating a strong opportunity for Indian companies to impact the huge domestic market and supply to other countries where these products are not patented. [6]

The primary focus within the biopharmaceutical sector in India is concentrating more towards development of biogenerics because of much lower developmental costs and risks lessen spending on research and development, reduced time to market and expertise in reverse engineering drug development process.

Off the 50 different brands of biogenerics are by than 20 different approved more biopharmaceutical companies (Table 1) and some of these molecules have finished a decade of market presence with several thousand doses already administered.

Biogeneric	Company	Product Name	Year of Launch
Insulin	Wockhardt	Wosulin	2003
	Biocon	Insugen	2004
	Shreya Life Sciences	Recosulin	2004
Erythropoietin	Hindustan Antibiotics	Hemax	2000
	Emcure	Epofer	2001
	Wockhardt	Wepox	2001
	Ranbaxy	Ceriton	2003
	Intas Pharmaceuticals	Epofit & Erykine	2005
Hepatitis B vaccine	Shantha Biotechnics	Shanvac B	1997
	Bharat Biotech	Revac B	1998
	Panacea Biotec	Enivac HB	2000
	Wockhardt	Biovac-B	2000
	Serum Institute of India	Gene Vac-B	2001
	Biological E	Bevac	2004
Granulocyte colony	Dr Reddy"s Laboratories	Grastim	2001
stimulating factor	Intas Pharmaceuticals	Neukine	2004
Streptokinase	Bharat Biotech	Indikinase	2003
	Shantha Biotechnics	Shankinase	2004
	Cadila Pharmaceuticals	STPase	2004
Interferon alpha-2b	Shantha Biotechnics	Shanferon	2002
Rituximab (MAb)	Dr Reddy"s Laboratories	Reditux	2007

 Table 1: Biosimilar products in India [7]

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier © 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted

noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25

Currently, there are 14 brands of Granulocyte Colony Stimulating Factor (G-CSF), 16 brands of Erythropoietin (EPO) are available in the Indian market, shows the intensity of competition among the biopharmaceutical companies in India. [8]

In India Phase I-II trials are generally not mandatory for biogenerics approval unless it is found needed in special cases. Phase III trials with a minimum of 100 patients are obligatory for establishing bioequivalence. Hence, the full cost to improve a biogeneric in India can range from \$10 – 20 million, which supports Indian companies to offer their products at a 25-40% cheaper price than the innovator biologics.<sup>[9]</sup> Clinicians suggesting biogenerics straightaway after their launch, signifying that the biogenerics have established a good fame among healthcare professionals, which is distinct from Filgrastim, nearly 65 percent of market share is led by generics and Erythropoietin, generic brands have taken 39 percent of the market share.<sup>[8]</sup>

Usually all biotechnological products are free from Indian government price control except Insulin, <sup>[10]</sup> allowing companies to decide their product price. Therefore, to improve market share the innovator companies lessen the price of their products anything from 30 to 50 percent.

#### **Development of biogenerics**

There are four stages [11] in the development of a biogenerics:

- 1) Product development and comparative analysis
- 2) Process development, scale up and validation
- 3) Clinical trials

Page 19

4) Regulatory (EMEA, WHO and FDA) review and approval.

All stages come with varying requirements and take varying extents of time contributing to the total cost of developing a biogeneric.

- Product development and comparative 1. analysis: This stage includes the production of protein of interest from cell culture and confirms their stability. The product must also demonstrate that it is biogenerics to the innovator product.
- 2. Process development, scale up and validation: In this stage, scale up of manufacturing process can be carried out to increase the product yield. This process should be conducted under good manufacturing practices reproducibility of and the manufacturing process needs to be demonstrated.
- Clinical trials: In order to demonstrate 3 bioequivalence to innovator product, clinical trials will be essential for almost all biogenerics products.
- 4. Regulatory review and approval:

The regulatory pathway used for the approval of biogenerics must speak about the characteristics of biogenerics that distinguish them from conventional generic drugs or more broadly the way in which biologics differ from New Chemical Entity (NCE) - based drugs.

and Biogenerics: <sup>[12]</sup>				
Table 2: Differences between Chemical Entities				

Chemical entities	Biogenerics
<ul> <li>Well-defined, easy- to-characterize molecular structures with impurity profiles that depend on their synthetic route of manufacture</li> <li>In vivo safety and efficacy are unrelated to product origin</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Routine chemical analysis are not sufficient to compare a biogeneric to its originator product</li> <li>The demonstration of approvability Is based on a comparability exercise rather than on demonstration of bioequivalence</li> <li>Need to establish on</li> </ul>
	a case by case basis

#### Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25 © 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier

## In Europe:

In Europe, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) directed the way for biogenerics, by issuing its first specific regulatory guidance in October 2005. <sup>[13]</sup> Two general guidance documents speaking about quality and nonclinical and clinical perspectives (Feb 2006), <sup>[14, 15]</sup> five product specific annexes on nonclinical and clinical issues (June-July 2006)<sup>[16]</sup> and a

manufacturing change comparability guideline (Nov 2007) are now available.

WHO guidelines are adopted in October 2009, provide universally acceptable principles for licensing Similar Biotherapeutic Products (SBPs) that are claimed to be similar to innovator biological products of assured quality, safety and efficacy that have been previously licensed based on a full licensing dossier. [17]

#### **EU Approval Process for Biogenerics:** <sup>[12]</sup>



## Valid Guidelines: [17]

Two guidelines help with the quality requirements of the dossier:

- Guideline on Similar Biological Medicinal Products Containing Biotechnology-Derived Proteins as Active Substance: Quality Issues (EMEA/CHMP/49348/05) Publication date: Feb 2006; Effective date: Jun 2006
- Guideline on Comparability of Medicinal Products containing Biotechnology-derived Proteins as Active Substance -Quality Issues (EMEA/CPMP/BWP/3207/00 Rev. 1)

Publication date: Dec 2003; Effective date: Dec 2003

The following three essential guidelines give advice for the pre-clinical and clinical section of the dossier.

• Note for Guidance on Comparability of Medicinal Products Containing Biotechnology derived Proteins as Drug Substance - Non Clinical and Clinical Issues (EMEA/CPMP/3097/02) Publication date: Dec 2003; Effective date: June 2004

- Guideline on Similar Biological Medicinal Product (EMEA/CHMP/437/04) Publication date: Sep 2005; Effective date: Oct 2005
- Guideline on Similar Biological Medicinal
   Products Containing Biotechnology-Derived
- Proteins as Active Substance: Non-Clinical and Clinical Issues

#### In United States:

Page 2

Biologics are regulated independently from other drugs under federal law. The Biologics License Application (BLA) is a request for permission to introduce, or deliver for introduction of a biologic product into interstate commerce (21 CFR 601.2). The BLA is regulated under 21 CFR 600–680.

#### **Approval Process for Biologics:**

Under the BPCI, a sponsor may look for approval of a "biosimilar" product under new section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act that creates an abbreviated approval pathway for biological products that are "highly similar" (i.e.,biosimilar) to, or further proven to be "Interchangeable" with an FDA-licensed biological product.

There are two distinct regulatory pathways for biologics are associated with a different set of barriers for approval of Biosimilars. <sup>[12]</sup>



## Overview of biogeneric regulation in India:

Drug and Cosmetic Act (DCA) is the statutory body involved in India's drug regulation policies stem. The DCA was passed in 1940, which has been amended several times and provides the bulk of the regulatory material required for India's drug industry. Indian drugs are being regulated by administrative agencies also, and these agencies are being authorized by DCA for discretionary decision making, but, more so than China's Drug Law. DCA specifies a number of registration procedures directly.

India lacks State Food and Drug Administration (SFDA) counterpart. In India, instead of SFDA there are several government agencies and committees which regulate new drugs and generics. They are <sup>[12, 18, 19, 20]</sup>

 The Central Drugs Standard Control Organization, headed by the DCGI, or the Drug Controller General of India.

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25

© 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

- 2. The Ministry of Environment and Forests.
- 3. The Department of Biotechnology
- 4. The Review Committee on Genetic Manipulation (RCGM)
- Engineering 5. The Genetic Approval Committee (GEAC)
- 6. A multitude of Institutional Biosafety Committees (IBSCs)
- 7. Ethics committees attached to India's hospitals and animal testing laboratories.

The functions of the above regulatory authorities are given in the table 3.

Table 3: Functions of regulatory authorities [21]

Committee	Department	Responsibility
Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBSC)	Institutions	Training of personnel on Biosafety and instituting health monitoring programme for laboratory personnel.
Review Committee For Genetic Manipulation (RCGM)	Department of Biotechnology - Ministry of Science &	Monitors all research scale activity and approval for non- clinical studies
	lechnology	
Genetic Engineering Advisory	Ministry of	Environmental safety for large-scale operations of Live
Committee (GEAC)	Environment	Modified Organism (LMO)based products
Drug Controller General of India (DCGI)	Ministry of Health	Product safety and efficacy & Clinical Trial & Marketing approval for Biotech drugs
Food & Drugs Control Administration (FDCA)	State government body, Under Ministry of Health	Approves plant & ensures cGMP.

#### Indian regulatory process for biogeneric products

As yet there are no distinct guidelines for approving biogenerics in India. As per the Indian regulatory authorities biogenerics as new biotechnological products and follow the "Rules for the Manufacture, Use, Import, Export and Storage Hazardous Microorganisms/ of Genetically Engineered Organisms or Cells, 1989" outlined by the Ministry of Environment & Forests (MoEF) under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. [19]

The MoEF had setup Task Force а on Recombinant Pharma Sector under the Chairmanship Dr.R.A.Mashelkar, of Director General, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research in 2004. This has outlined protocols for 5 different scenarios for each of, which committee clearances are mandatory, which were adopted by Government of India in 2006. [21]

For example, end product is not a Live Microorganism (LMO) could be:

- 1. Indigenous product Development, Manufacturing & Marketing (IBSC, RCGM & DCGI).
- 2. Import and Marketing (DCGI).

End product is a LMO are evaluated under the following heads:

- 1. Indigenous product Development, Manufacturing & Marketing (IBSC, RCGM, GEAC & DCGI).
- 2. Import and Marketing (GEAC, DCGI).
- 3. Import of bulk, for Manufacturing & Marketing (IBSC, RCGM, GEAC and DCGI).

Steps involved in the regulatory pathway for the approval of new biogenerics in India are shown in Table 4.

<u>N. Vishal Gupta et al; Biogenerics-need for Regulatory development in India</u>

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25 © 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 4: Summary of the regulatory pathway for approval of biogenerics in India [22]

Product development	<ul> <li>Approval needed from institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC)</li> <li>Approval needed from Department of Biotechnology (DBT)</li> </ul>
Animal toxicology studies	<ul> <li>Protocol to be designed as per schedule Y, approved by DBT</li> <li>Study need to be conducted in GLP accredited laboratories</li> <li>Report need to be approved by DBT</li> </ul>
Clinical trial	<ul> <li>Protocol need to be approved by DCGI (followed by DBT approves the toxicity study report)</li> <li>Manufacturing license is needed for CT batch manufacturing (along with WHO GMP certificate)</li> <li>The protocol need to be approved by Institutional Ethics Committee</li> <li>Any deviation need to be approved by DCGI and DSMB</li> </ul>
Marketing and manufacturing licence	<ul> <li>CT report to be submitted to DCGI</li> <li>The dossier (in CTD format) need to be approved by DCGI</li> <li>Manufacturing licence should be issued after inspection of the facility</li> </ul>
Post approval commitments	<ul> <li>Mandatory PMS (at least for 4 months) PV study (throughout)</li> <li>Every 6 months safety reporting to DCGI for first 2 years (PSUR)</li> <li>Any process change need to be approved by DCGI</li> </ul>

Recently the DBT has provided a set of "guidelines for preclinical evaluation of similar biologics in India" to approve the biogeneric products.

## Lack of Specific Regulations

Page 23

While the abbreviated pathway for approval of biologics is new, the European Union under the regulation of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) has had general guidance in place since 2005 and has published a number of specific guidance documents on nonclinical, clinical, and quality issues for biosimilars.

The EMA also has provided guidelines on specific biologic classes, including insulin, somatropin, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, a draft quidance on monoclonal antibodies, and concept papers on low-molecular weight heparins and interferon alfa. European regulations have no equivalent to the "interchangeable" designation in the BPCI and European countries presently do not allow automatic substitution of a biosimilar. Fourteen biosimilars of three reference products (erythropoietin, filgrastim, somatropin) have been approved by the EMA since 2006

According to the Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products (CPMP) at the EMEA (European Medicines Evaluation Agency), there are no 'universally' applicable guidelines and each product may have to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Hence when compared to Europe, India lacks abbreviated pathway as well as specific guidelines for the approval of biogenerics. But India has some advantages over other countries to make it as a leading producer of biogenerics in the global market. They are: <sup>[23]</sup>

- Highest number of plants approved by US FDA: One of the main strength India has is that it has the largest number of USFDA approved manufacturing plants outside the US.
- Booming clinical trials and clinical research: Increasing compliance of Indian companies with GCP guidelines, hospitals and clinics is gaining access to vast and diverse disease populations.
- Availability of highly qualified human resources: Abundance of English speaking medical professionals and personnel with close to 7, 00,000 PG's and 1500 PhD's qualifying in engineering and biosciences

- 4. Lower operational and capital costs: This is advantageous because the manufacturer needs to invest less time and money into the clinical development of the new compound.
- 5. Highly competitive bioprocessing skills in pharma sector: It has proven that Indian pharmaceutical industry is alobally competitive in fermentation-derived pharmaceuticals which transforms and leverages production of biogenerics.
- 6. Excellent opportunities in genomic research: Factors like plant, animal and microbial diversity present excellent genomic research opportunity.

# Conclusion:

In the terms of biogeneric industry, Indian pharmaceutical companies have higher scope over other firms. Presently India is booming as a major contributor in world biogeneric market. One of the main strength India has is that it has the largest number of USFDA approved manufacturing plants outside the US. Booming clinical trials and clinical research have added another feather on the cap of Indian companies. Very low cost infrastructures and highly educated citizens, and day by day increasing number of PG's, PhD's qualifying in engineering and biosciences each year, provide the ideal combination for entering such a complex and non-established industry. There is a need of establishment of a "learning curve" for Indian firms for better understanding of manufacturing of such products and dealing with the complex regulatory environment. But the disappointing

side is that there is lack of specific regulations for the approval of a biogeneric in India. Hence to raise India from a complex, competitive environment and shine as a leading producer of biogenerics which calls for an immediate need for establishment of proper regulatory standards in India.

# **R**EFERENCES:

- 1) Khullar Rachit, Goel Arvind, Aggarwal Geeta, Generic Drugs-A ground Discussion, Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., Jan-March 2011, 3(1): 178-184
- 2) Yagnesh a bhatt and dushyant a shah, glimpse on protein drug delivery: an utmost research area for biopharmaceuticals, Int.J.Drug Dev. & Res., April-June 2010, 2(1):336-347
- 3) Global biosimilars outlook 2011. Pharma IQ. 2011 Aug 26; [cited 2012 Dec 26]. Available from: URL:http://www.pharma-iq.com/regulatorylegal/articles/globalbiosimilars-outlook/
- Balakrishnan R. Indian drug makers bet big on 4) local biosimilar market. 2011 July 8; [cited 2012 Available Dec 26]. from: URL:http://www.business-standard. com/india/news/indian-drug-makers-betbiglocal-biosimilar-market/442020/
- Som N. Bionomics of biosimilars. 2010 Feb 4; 5) [cited 2012 Dec 28]. Available from: URL:http://www.biospectrumasia.com/content/ 040210IND11983.asp
- R. Regulatory considerations 6) Nellore for biosimilars. PICR 2010 Jan;1:11-14.
- Langer E. India"s biogenerics industry. BioPharm 7) Intl 2008 Feb; 26-29.
- Mody R, Saxena R. Indian biogeneric companies 8) on the threshold of globalization. 2008 Aug 1; Available [cited 2012 Dec 28]. from: URL:http://www.biospectrumasia.com /content/130808ind6791.asp
- Indian biosimilars market poised 9) big for growth.2011 April 28; [cited 2012 Dec 28].

<u>N. Vishal Gupta et al; Biogenerics-need for Regulatory development in India</u>

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25 © 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **Review** Paper

Covered in Scopus & Embase, Elsevier © 2013 N. Vishal Gupta et al, publisher and licensee IYPF. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted

Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res., July-September 2013, 5 (3): 17-25

noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

medicinal products. DNA vaccines,

- similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology derived proteins as active substance: Non-clinical and clinical issues. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use: 2006 Feb: London.
- 15) European Medicines Agency. Guideline on similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology derived proteins as active substance: Quality issues. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use; 2006 Feb; London.
- 16) European Medicines Agency. Annex to guideline on similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology derived proteins as active substance: Non-clinical and clinical issues. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use; 2006 July; London. 18. World Health Organization. Guidelines on evaluation of similar biotherapeutic products (SBPs). Expert committee on biological standardization; 2009 Oct 19-23; Geneva.

- Clinical 17) Katja Schepper, Braunschweig. Requirements for the Development of Biosimilar Products: 17-18, 2007.
- 18) Central Drugs Standard Control Organization. Biotechnological/biological products - central drug standard control organization guidance document for industry; [cited 2011 Aug 16]. Available from: URL:http://cdsco.nic.in/CDSCO-GuidanceForIndustry.pdf.
- 19) Ministry of Environment and Forest. Rules for the manufacture, use/import/export and storage of hazardous microorganisms/ genetically engineered organisms or cells. 1989 Dec; New Delhi.
- 20) Department of Biotechnology. Guidelines for generating pre-clinical and clinical data for rdiagnostics and other biologicals. 1999; India.
- 21) Ministry of Environment and Forest. Report of the task force on recombinant pharma. 2005 Aug; India.
- 22) Malhotra H. Biosimilars and non-innovator biotherapeutics in India: An overview of the current situation. Biologicals 2011; 1-4.
- 23) Kapil Parab. India as a hub for biogenerics; Dec 28]. Available from [cited 2013 http://pharma.financialexpress.com/20051215/i pcspecial02.shtml

Article History:-----Date of Submission: 18-05-2013 Date of Acceptance: 29-05-2013 Conflict of Interest: NIL Source of Support: NONE



from:

ArticleDetails.aspx? aid=62709 &sid=21

URL:http://www.pharmabiz.com/

10) Desai JP. Bridges to the bloom: The future of Indian biosimilars. 2009 Sep; [cited 2012 Dec 28]. Available from: URL:http://www.universalconsulting.com/

11) Generics and Biosimilars Initiative. Development

- of biosimilars. 2010 July 2; [cited 2012 Dec 28]. Available URL:http://www.gabionline.net/Biosimilars /Research/Development-of-biosimilars 12) Gita Sharma. Biogenerics & India. Hanseatic India Colloquium Hamburg Nov 14, 2008; [cited
  - 2012 Dec 28]. Available from www.docstoc.com 13) European Medicines Agency. Guideline on similar biological Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use; 2005 Oct; London.
  - 14) European Medicines Agency. Guideline on
- Page 25

Available